



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/811,306  | 03/29/2004  | Masumi Kubo          | 1035-503            | 9652             |
| 23117 7590 04/14/2008<br>NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC<br>901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR<br>ARLINGTON, VA 22203 |             |                      |                     |                  |
| EXAMINER  |             |                      |                     |                  |
| ZUBAJLO, JENNIFER L   |             |                      |                     |                  |
| ART UNIT  |             | PAPER NUMBER         |                     |                  |
| 2629  |             |                      |                     |                  |
| MAIL DATE   |             | DELIVERY MODE        |                     |                  |
| 04/14/2008  |             | PAPER                |                     |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/811,306

**Applicant(s)**

KUBO, MASUMI

**Examiner**

JENNIFER ZUBAJLO

**Art Unit**

2629

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 March 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/ICE)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-12 and 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazuo Inoue (Patent No.: US 6,850,309) in view of Donald E. Mosier (Patent Number: 5,489,918).

As to claim 1, Inoue teaches a liquid crystal display device comprising: a liquid crystal panel comprising a first substrate, a second substrate and a liquid crystal layer, said liquid crystal layer comprising liquid crystal having negative dielectric anisotropy provided between the first and second substrates (see column 1 lines 28-37, column 9 lines 57-59).

Inoue does not directly teach a drive voltage setting section which sets a drive voltage to drive the liquid crystal panel and supplies the set drive voltage to the liquid crystal panel, wherein: the drive voltage setting section sets a drive voltage in accordance with viewing angle characteristics of the liquid crystal panel, thereby controlling viewing angle characteristics.

Mosier teaches a drive voltage setting section which sets a drive voltage to drive the liquid crystal panel and supplies the set drive voltage to the liquid crystal panel, wherein: the drive voltage setting section sets a drive voltage in accordance with viewing angle characteristics of the liquid crystal panel, thereby controlling viewing angle characteristics (see Abstract, column 7 lines 8-19, column 10 lines 49-66, column 11 lines 1-23 and 45-65, and column 12 lines 1-11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the driving technique taught by Mosier into the structure taught by Inoue in order to compensate for a variety of operational factors which degrade display performance (see Mosier - column 7 lines 1-3 & lines 20-25).

As to claim 14, Inoue teaches an electronic device including a liquid crystal display device, the liquid crystal display device comprising: a liquid crystal panel comprising a first substrate, a second substrate and a liquid crystal layer, said liquid crystal layer comprising liquid crystal having negative dielectric anisotropy provided between the first and second substrates (see column 1 lines 28-37, column 9 lines 57-59).

Inoue doesn't directly teach an electronic device including a liquid crystal display device, the liquid crystal display device comprising: a drive voltage setting section which sets a drive voltage to drive the liquid crystal panel and supplies the set drive voltage to the liquid crystal panel, wherein: the drive voltage setting section sets a drive voltage in

accordance with viewing angle characteristics of the liquid crystal panel, thereby controlling viewing angle characteristics.

Mosier teaches an electronic device including a liquid crystal display device, the liquid crystal display device comprising: a drive voltage setting section which sets a drive voltage to drive the liquid crystal panel and supplies the set drive voltage to the liquid crystal panel, wherein: the drive voltage setting section sets a drive voltage in accordance with viewing angle characteristics of the liquid crystal panel, thereby controlling viewing angle characteristics (see Abstract, column 7 lines 8-19, column 10 lines 49-66, column 11 lines 1-23 and 45-65, and column 12 lines 1-11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the driving technique taught by Mosier into the structure taught by Inoue in order to compensate for a variety of operational factors which degrade display performance (see Mosier - column 7 lines 1-3 & lines 20-25).

As to claim 15, Inoue teaches an electronic device including a liquid crystal display device (see [0002]) comprising: a liquid crystal panel comprising a first substrate, a second substrate and a liquid crystal layer, said liquid crystal layer comprising liquid crystal having negative dielectric anisotropy provided between the first and second substrates (see [0075]-[0076]).

Inoue does not directly teach an electronic device capable of performing at least two types of functions among the following functions: electronic messaging, camera shooting, Internet access, and television reception, and including a liquid crystal display

device displaying a state of performing the function during performance of each of the functions, the liquid crystal display device comprising: a drive voltage setting section which sets a drive voltage to drive the liquid crystal panel and supplies the set drive voltage to the liquid crystal panel, wherein: the drive voltage setting section sets a drive voltage in accordance with viewing angle characteristics of the liquid crystal panel, thereby controlling viewing angle characteristics.

Mosier teaches an electronic device capable of performing at least two types of functions among the following functions: electronic messaging, camera shooting, Internet access, and television reception (see column 2 lines 11-27, 62-63, column 9 lines 49-52, column 19 lines 33-34, and column 20 lines 60-65), and including a liquid crystal display device displaying a state of performing the function during performance of each of the functions, the liquid crystal display device comprising: a drive voltage setting section which sets a drive voltage to drive the liquid crystal panel and supplies the set drive voltage to the liquid crystal panel, wherein: the drive voltage setting section sets a drive voltage in accordance with viewing angle characteristics of the liquid crystal panel, thereby controlling viewing angle characteristics (see Abstract, column 7 lines 8-19, column 10 lines 49-66, column 11 lines 1-23 and 45-65, and column 12 lines 1-11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the driving technique taught by Mosier into the structure taught by Inoue in order to compensate for a variety of operational factors which degrade display performance (see Mosier - column 7 lines 1-3 & lines 20-25).

As to claim 2, the combination of Inoue and Mosier teach the liquid crystal display device according to claim 1 (see above rejection). Mosier also teaches a drive voltage for a lower end of grayscale to be supplied to the liquid crystal panel with narrow viewing angle characteristics so as to be higher than a drive voltage for a lower end of grayscale to be supplied to the liquid crystal panel with wide viewing angle characteristics (see column 25 lines 7-20).

As to claim 3, the combination of Inoue and Mosier teach the liquid crystal display device according to claim 1 (see above rejection). Mosier also teaches a drive voltage for a higher end of grayscale to be supplied to the liquid crystal panel, so as to be a voltage on which grayscale degradation occurs at the oblique viewing angle (see column 6 lines 66-67, column 7 lines 1-7, and column 25 lines 7-20, 44-60).

As to claim 4, the combination of Inoue and Mosier teach the liquid crystal display device according to claim 1 (see above rejection). Mosier also teaches the drive voltage setting section, when transmission intensity of the liquid crystal panel has such a magnitude that grayscale inversions occur on a higher end of grayscale at the oblique viewing angle, sets a drive voltage for a higher end of grayscale to be supplied to the liquid crystal panel with wide viewing angle characteristics to be a voltage on which no grayscale degradation occurs at the oblique viewing angle (see column 6 lines 66-67, column 7 lines 1-7, column 25 lines 7-20, 44-60, 66-67 and column 26 lines 1-5, 17-21, 58-63).

As to claim 5, the combination of Inoue and Mosier teach the liquid crystal display device according to claim 4 (see above rejection). Mosier also teaches the drive voltage setting section does not change a drive voltage for a lower end of grayscale to be supplied to the liquid crystal panel (see column 26 lines 25-34).

As to claim 6, the combination of Inoue and Mosier teach the liquid crystal display device according to claim 1 (see above rejection). Mosier also teaches the drive voltage setting section sets a drive voltage with reference to a lookup table (in this case a graph/wave form), set in advance, representing a relationship between an input grayscale level and a drive voltage (see column 14 lines 16-29, 44-57, column 15 lines 16-19, 32-34, 39-41, 52-65, column 16 lines 37-45, and column 26 lines 37-54).

As to claim 7, the combination of Inoue and Mosier teach the liquid crystal display device according to claim 6 (see above rejection). Mosier also teaches the lookup table (wave form/graph) is set for each type of viewing angle characteristics, and the drive voltage setting section selects a lookup table corresponding to viewing angle characteristics (see column 7 lines 8-39 and column 14 lines 44-57).

As to claim 8, the combination of Inoue and Mosier teach the liquid crystal display device according to claim 1 (see above rejection). Mosier also teaches a drive voltage in accordance with a program (set of instructions), set in advance, for



Art Unit: 2629

determining an output grayscale level with respect to an input grayscale level (see column 14 lines 16-29, column 15 lines 10-15, 32-42, column 16 lines 37-45, column 17 lines 50-57, 66-67, and column 18 lines 1-8).

As to claim 9, the combination of Inoue and Mosier teach the liquid crystal display device according to claim 8 (see above rejection). Mosier also teaches the program set for each type of viewing angle characteristic, and the drive voltage setting section selects and executes a program corresponding to viewing angle characteristics (see column 7 lines 35-40, column 18 lines 3-8, column 19 lines 66-67, and column 20 lines 1-19, 60-65).

As to claims 10-12, the combination of Inoue and Mosier teach the liquid crystal display device according to claim 1 (see above rejection). Inoue teaches a display mode of a liquid crystal panel is VA (Vertically Aligned) mode, CPA (Continuous Pinwheel Alignment) mode, and MVA (Multi-Domain Vertically Aligned) mode (see column 1 lines 28-42, column 3 lines 56-59, and column 10 lines 21-28). All of these modes are vertically aligned modes.

As to claim 16, the combination of Inoue and Mosier teach the liquid crystal display device according to claim 15 (see above rejection). Mosier also teaches the drive voltage corresponding to the function to be performed is set in advance (see column 14 lines 16-29, column 15 lines 10-15, 32-42, and column 16 lines 37-45).

As to claim 17, the combination of Inoue and Mosier teach the liquid crystal display device according to claim 15 (see above rejection). Mosier also teaches the drive voltage in accordance with a switching signal for switching between wide viewing angle characteristics and narrow viewing angle characteristics (see column 17 lines 50-57, column 19 lines 31-34, column 20 lines 53-60, and column 25 lines 7-20).

As to claim 18, the combination of Inoue and Mosier teach the liquid crystal display device according to claim 15 (see above rejection). Mosier also teaches under a circumstance where the drive voltage is set to a drive voltage for wide viewing angle characteristics, sets a drive voltage which is to be applied to an arbitrary part of the liquid crystal panel, so as to be a drive voltage for narrow viewing angle characteristics Abstract, column 7 lines 8-19, column 10 lines 49-66, column 11 lines 1-23 and 45-65, column 12 lines 1-11, and column 25 lines 7-20).

As to claim 19, the combination of Inoue and Mosier teach the liquid crystal display device according to claim 15 (see above rejection). Mosier also teaches under a circumstance where the drive voltage is set to a drive voltage for narrow viewing angle characteristics, sets a drive voltage which is to be applied to an arbitrary part of the liquid crystal panel, so as to be a drive voltage for wide viewing angle characteristics (see Abstract, column 7 lines 8-19, column 10 lines 49-66, column 11 lines 1-23 and 45-65, column 12 lines 1-11, and column 25 lines 7-20).

As to claims 20 and 21, the combination of Inoue and Mosier teach the liquid crystal display device according to claim 15 (see above rejection). Mosier also teaches the drive voltage setting section, in performing the Internet access and electronic messaging, sets the drive voltage corresponding to narrow viewing angle characteristics (see column 12 lines 1-11). Mosier does not directly teach Internet access or electronic messaging however it is taught that the invention can be applicable to a variety of different uses and types of LCD's (see column 9 lines 49-50) and an example used uses a personal computer (see column 20 lines 60-65) which is well known to have Internet capabilities and electronic messaging.

As to claim 22, the combination of Inoue and Mosier teach the liquid crystal display device according to claim 15 (see above rejection). Mosier also teaches the drive voltage setting section, in performing the camera shooting, sets the drive voltage corresponding to wide viewing angle characteristics (see column 12 lines 1-11). Mosier does not directly teach Internet access or electronic messaging however it is taught that the invention can be applicable to a variety of different uses and types of LCD's (see column 9 lines 49-50) and it is well known that camera shooting is a common function for use with LCD's.

3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazuo Inoue (Patent No.: US 6,850,309) in view of Donald E. Mosier (Patent Number: 5,489,918), further in view of Tadashi Matsuzawa (Pub. No.: US 2002/0001060 A1).

As to claim 13, the combination of Inoue and Mosier teach the liquid crystal display device according to claim 1 (see above rejection).

The combination of Inoue and Mosier doesn't teach a display mode of the liquid crystal panel is RTN (Reverse Twisted Nematic) mode.

Matsuzawa teaches a display mode of the liquid crystal panel is RTN (Reverse Twisted Nematic) mode (see [0004]). Reverse twisted nematic mode is also a vertically aligned mode.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of the reverse twisted nematic mode taught by Matsuzawa into the structure and driving taught by the combination of Inoue and Mosier because LCD's operating in vertically aligned modes have wider viewing angle characteristics (improved in viewing angle characteristics) and the reverse twisted nematic mode is just another vertically aligned mode and the vertically aligned mode is already taught by Inoue so it would be a simple substitution.

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JENNIFER ZUBAJLO whose telephone number is (571)270-1551. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 am - 5 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amare Mengistu can be reached on (571) 272-7674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jennifer Zubajlo/

/Amare Mengistu/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629 4/10/08

